

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,189	01/29/2001	Sheldon Sturgis	13578.1US01 9119		
23552	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER		
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 290	T & GOULD PC		PASCUA	A, JES F	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3727		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\langle \gamma \rangle$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/772,189	STURGIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jes F. Pascua	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
, =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10-12,15 and 17-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,6,7,10-12 and 15 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	₽d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/16/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 10-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etesse (European Patent Application No. 0 630 8221 A1, previously cited) and Pomeranz (newly cited).

Etesse discloses the claimed device, especially the handle of the bag being defined by an oblong hole. However, Etesse does not show the oblong handle hole being reinforced by a layer of additional material that is less than the vertical height of the bag sidewalls and attached adjacent to the handle. Pomeranz discloses that it is known in the art to provide a reinforcing structure in the form of a layer of additional

material 20 that is less than the vertical height of the bag sidewalls and attached adjacent to an analogous oblong handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the oblong handle of Etesse with the additional material of Pomeranz, in order to reinforce the oblong handle.

Moreover, Pomeranz discloses that it is known in the art to provide a reinforcing ring 21 within the oblong handle in addition to the reinforcing structure. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the oblong handle of Etesse with a reinforcing structure and reinforcing ring positioned within the oblong handle as taught by Pomeranz, in order to provide a smooth and convenient grip by which the bag may be carried.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, 10-12 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/772,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP